

Archaeological Investigations at 34WG220

**A Prehistoric Occupation in the Arkansas River Valley
along State Highway 72 near Coweta, Wagoner County, Oklahoma**

by

**Robert Bartlett
Oklahoma Highway Archaeological Survey Program**

**Oklahoma Department of Transportation
Environmental Studies Branch, Planning Division
Oklahoma Highway Archaeological Survey Program
Miscellaneous Studies Series**

1998

Acknowledgements

Mrs. Joyce Bear, one of the current landowners and Cultural Resource and Historic Preservation Officer of the Muscogee Nation, brought 34WG220 to the attention of John D. Hartley, Department of Transportation Archaeologist, and contributed useful information regarding this site and other cultural resources in the general study area. Assistance with various aspects of the work was provided by personnel with the Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) Planning Division and the Muskogee Residency. Preliminary evaluation of 34WG220 was performed by the author and Mr. Hartley. Much of the subsequent excavation completed with the assistance of Lynita Langley-Ware of the Oklahoma Highway Archaeological Survey Program. All recovered materials and flotation samples were processed by Chris Brooks at the Oklahoma Archaeological Survey.

Table of Contents

I. Introduction	1
II. Project Background	3
Environment	3
Soils	4
Archaeological and Historic Background	4
III. Project Methodology	5
Trench Monitor	5
Controlled Stripping	8
Excavation of Features	8
IV. Results of the Investigation	
Feature 1	10
Feature 2	11
V. Interpretations and Conclusions	11
VI. Recommendations	13
VII. References Cited	13

List of Figures

Figure 1. Location of 34WG220.	1
Figure 2. Location of investigated areas within right-of-way	2
Figure 3. Anvil stone recovered during trench monitor.	6
Figure 4. Features uncovered in north end of trench at 34WG220. Feature 1 in trench wall below scale, Feature 2 in trench floor left of trowel.	7
Figure 5. Controlled Machine Stripping.	7
Figure 6. Feature 1 profile in trench wall with in relation to establishment of Test Unit A and level string line datum.	9
Figure 7. Feature 1 uncovered at 53 cm BLD, note rodent disturbance.	9
Figure 8. Feature 2 with <i>in situ</i> chert cobble.	12

Table

Table 1. Artifacts recovered during the investigation of 34WG220.	15
---	----

I. Introduction

The following report describes the findings of archaeological work conducted by the Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) at site 34WG220, discovered during the monitor of a trench excavation within right-of-way for project STP-73B(316) involving a realignment of SH-72 just south of Coweta (Figure 1). The property on which the site occurs is an allotment belonging to a family of the Muscogee (Creek) Nation of Oklahoma. The monitor was conducted after the current landowners reported prehistoric and historic artifacts had been found and collected in the area during previous years. Examination of the collection identified contracting stem and corner-notched dart points as well as a corner-notched arrow point and ceramic doll parts.

Exact provenience of the items is unknown, however, the landowners believed most originated on slightly higher ground west of the area monitored. This area was the most intensively cultivated in the past. In addition, a historical map in possession of the current owners compiled by Grant Foreman as part of a WPA project in the 1930's of the area indicates a school was once located somewhere in the area west of the site. Based on the above information additional surface survey of the site area to be impacted by the project was conducted. A single flake of Boone chert was observed in a small drainage north of the site area. However, no artifacts were observed on the surface or on several rodent mounds in the area where the features were eventually found. Due to the sparse surface indications, it was recommended that a monitor of a sewer line trench scheduled to

Figure 1. Location of 34WG220.

be excavated in the area was the most efficient way of subsurface investigation of the area.

The trench monitor began at the east/west section road south of the property roughly north for 75 m toward the top of the hill, ending on a line east of the existing house (Figure 2). Here, a manhole is to be set to service a sewer line to the house. During the initial monitor of the trench excavations on January 17, 1997, two prehistoric features were identified at the northern most end of the trench near the location for the manhole. In addition an anvil stone, a chert hammerstone fragment and two flakes were recovered from the trench. Subsequent to these findings, monitored controlled machine stripping of the

area east of the trench was conducted on January 29, 1997 and excavations designed to uncover and assess the nature of the features present in the trench wall were initiated on January 27, 1997 and completed on February 3, 1997. All excavations were conducted under ARPA permit number CR-9740 issued by Ben Barnette of the Muskogee area BIA office, and in accordance with procedures required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 to assess the eligibility of the site to the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).

No features or archaeological deposits were encountered during the controlled machine stripping. The hand excavation uncovered a




Figure 2. Location of investigated areas within right-of-way.

prehistoric hearth/oven dating to around AD 1350 and the base of a possible post mold or small cache pit. Artifacts were also recovered, however, are few in number and include non diagnostic prehistoric and historic/modern items.

The findings of this investigation suggest that 34WG220 does not extend east of the feature locations. Of the few prehistoric artifacts recovered none are diagnostic and it appears the two features discovered during the trench monitor are the only features occurring in the project area. Based on this information it appears that the area of 34WG220 investigated does not contain substantive archaeological materials and lacks the content or context to merit inclusion in the NRHP.

II. Project Background

Environment Site 34WG220 occurs on an upper terrace about 1.04 km north of the present channel of the Arkansas River (Figure 1). Coweta Creek flowing southward toward the river passes about 100 m east of the site. The creek is deeply entrenched adjacent to the site exposing shales and sandstones of the Pennsylvanian age Senora and Boggy Formations (Marcher 1969).

Several small drainages occur in the vicinity, many of which are spring fed. The landowners report a spring once flowed just north of the site. A considerable amount of subsurface water was observed during the trench monitor flowing toward Coweta Creek at the base of the trench adjacent to the county road south of the site. The terrace deposits on which the site occurs are considered as very favorable for

groundwater supplies (Marcher 1969).

The terrace is presently covered in a mixture of hardwood timber and grasses. The area is part of the Cherokee Prairie biotic district (Blair and Hubble 1938:431-433). The district is characterized by tall grasses including bluejoint (*Andropogon furcatus*), prairie beardgrass (*Andropogon scoparius*) and switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*) which become mixed with short grasses including side-oats grama (*Bouteloua curtipendula*), buffalo grass (*Buchloe dactyloides*) and silver beardgrass (*Andropogon saccharioides*) on thinner soils. Floodplains, stream margins and sheltered ravines are covered in forest including a variety of oaks (*Quercus stellata*, *Q. marilandica*, *Q. shumardii* and *Q. muhlenbergii*) hickory (*Carya buckleyi*), elm (*Ulmus americana*, *U. fulva*), hackberry (*Celtis occidentalis* and *C. laevigata*), willow (*Salix nigra*), cottonwood (*Plantanus deltoides*) and silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*). Small trees such as persimmon (*Diospyros virginiana*) and sumac (*Rhus glabra* and *R. copallina*) are also common.

The combination of forest and prairie habitat has been known historically to support a diversity of faunal resources. Historical accounts by early explorers to the region such as Colonel A. P. Chouteau noted the presence of deer, elk, bear and buffalo (Foreman 1934:148). The faunal assemblage recovered at the Lasley Vore site (34TU65), a Protohistoric Village site along the Arkansas River near Jenks, Oklahoma, attests to the variety of game available just prior to the intensive 19th and 20th century settlement of the area (Odell 1989). Remains of large mammals such as bison (*Bison bison*), White-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), bear, (*Ursus americanus*) and cougar (*Felis*

concolor) were recovered as well as smaller animals such as bobcat (*Lynx rufus*), beaver (*Castor canadensis*), cottontail (*Sylvilagus floridanus*), opossum (*Didelphis virginianus*), turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*) and box turtle (*Terrapene sp.*) (Yates and Brown 1989:111).

Soils The site occurs on soils within the Kamie-Newtonia-Okay association. These soils developed in loamy and sandy sediments under grasses and hardwood forest (Polone 1976:4). The soil series occurring on the site area is Kamie fine sandy loam (Polone 1976:Sheet #28). The soil is developed from remanent dune material deposited on the terrace from the nearby Arkansas River. The basic soil profile observed along the trench appears to be typical of that described for Kamie series soils (Polone 1976:14-15). The trench profile consists of an upper horizon of about 60 cm of homogeneous unconsolidated brown sandy loam with a clear smooth boundary above about 10-15 cm of reddish sandy clay loam which transitions into a reddish clay loam with some sand present to the bottom of the trench about 180 cm below the surface. A slight change in the profile occurs in the northern portion of the trench as it bisects the higher elevation closer to the top of the hill (approximately the northern 1/3 of the trench). Through this area a darker brown sandy loam is visible in the upper 20 cm. This horizon diffusely transitions into the general homogeneous unconsolidated brownish sandy loam described earlier. The sandy loam extends to about 60 cm below the surface, however the lower 10-15 cm of this material becomes lighter and more loamy. In addition the reddish clay underlying the sandy loam becomes less sandy and in places is underlain by a yellow clay.

Archaeological and Historical Background

Information regarding prehistoric occupations within this particular portion of the Arkansas River valley is scanty. However, there is evidence of occupations in the general area spanning an expansive time frame. Archaeological investigations at the Day site (34WG171), located on uplands adjacent to the Arkansas River about 4 km west of 34WG220, documented the occurrence of Dalton-like points and drills (Odell and Vereecken-Odell 1989). Similar points were recovered from the Packard site (34MY66) located on the west edge of the Ozark Plateau about 55 km east of the site (Wyckoff 1985). The stratum from which they were recovered has been radiocarbon dated to about 9,600 years ago (Wyckoff 1989). This stratum is just above one containing and hearth dated to 9800 years ago around which a side-notched and several lanceolate bifaces were recovered (ibid).

The Brandon site (34TU82), located about 35 km northwest of 34WG220, has produced evidence of middle Archaic occupations on uplands within 3.5 km of the Arkansas River (Neal 1994). Here, basally-notched bifaces attributable to the Calf Creek horizon have been found. The makers of these bifaces are thought to have occupied the region between 5000 and 6000 years ago (Wyckoff 1995).

Although several sites along the western margin of the Ozark Plateau about 35 to 45 km east of 34WG220 are known to contain artifacts evidencing late archaic occupations (Wyckoff et al 1963), sites investigated in the vicinity of 34WG220 attributable to this age are few. The recovery of diagnostic bifaces, suggests later archaic occupations may be manifest at 34WG108 in the Kadashan bottoms about 8 km west of 34WG220

(Wallis 1984). Several burned sandstone features were excavated at this site some of which may date to late archaic occupations (*ibid*). Excavations at the W. W. Works site (34WG117) revealed a similar burned sandstone feature (Mclung 1980). Diagnostic bifaces recovered from the surface of this site suggest the feature relates to a Late Archaic occupation.

The previously discussed excavations at the Day site (34WG171) also produced evidence of Late Archaic/Woodland occupations. The recovery of contracting stem and corner-notched bifaces, corner-notched arrow points and cord-marked pottery sherds suggest people with Late Archaic/Woodland adaptations visited the site (Odell and Vereckan-Odell 1989:42).

Late prehistoric sites also are not well documented in the area. A radiocarbon date obtained from Feature 2, associated with Component 6 at the previously discussed Kadashan Bottoms site (34WG108) suggests that in addition to a late Archaic component, occupation of this site also occurred around AD 1415 (Wallis 1984:94). Several late prehistoric sites are known to occur in the Arkansas River drainage to the southeast. Important sites relating to the Harlan and Spiro phase occupations are located along the Neosho River 50 km east of 34WG220 (Bell 1984; Brown 1984). Several mound centers, villages and small hamlets of both phases have been investigated and attest to an intense occupation within the eastern Arkansas River basin of Oklahoma by Caddoan groups between AD 900-1450 (*ibid*).

The Lasley-Vore site (34TU65) represents a Protohistoric village on the Arkansas River about 25 km northwest of 34WG220 (Odell

1989). Extensive archaeological work has revealed a large village likely visited and occupied by indigenous groups with wide ranging contacts. The site may possibly be the location of or at least contemporary with a Tawakoni (Wichita) village visited by Jean-Baptiste Benard, Sieur de la Harpe in 1719 (Odell 1989:88).

As part of the removal of indigenous population from the southeast United States about 1834 the area became part of the Muscogee (Creek) Nation. The town of Coweta was established by members of the nation around this time. The town's ceremonial center and ball ground were located just north of 34WG220. The Koweta mission and school was established by Reverend R. M. Loughridge in 1842 about 1.5 km to the west of 34WG220 (Foreman 1948). The mission and school operated until the onset of the Civil War. A cemetery associated with the mission is still visible today.

III. Project Methodology

The site investigation consisted of three phases. The initial monitor of the sewer line trench, the excavation of the features discovered during this monitor and the monitor of controlled machine stripping of an area east of the sewer trench and features.

Trench Monitor The initial investigation consisted of the monitor of a 1.8 m deep sewer line trench along the west edge of the right-of-way from the county road north for approximately 75 m (Figure 2). Recovered from the trench walls approximately where the trench passes the south east corner of a wood and metal post fence surrounding the

existing house were two pieces of lithic debitage. One recovered from the west wall and one from the east wall. The lithic material is Boone chert and both were recovered near the base of the brown sandy loam 50-60 cm below the surface. Similarity in color and texture of the two pieces of shatter suggests they may be derived from the same cobble. Also recovered from the back dirt in this area was a portion of a groundstone artifact. The sandstone item was shaped by pecking and grinding with one surface serving as an anvil stone and the other possibly used as a mano (Figure 3). Other items observed in the back dirt through this area include a piece of coal, three fragments of clear glass and a flagstone. These latter items relate to historic/modern occupations of the area. Another small piece of Boone chert was recovered from the east trench wall about 7 m

north of the previous finds of chert. This item was recovered about 50 cm below the surface and exhibits some battering suggesting it to be a fragment of a hammerstone.

Two features were identified at the extreme northern end of the trench on the north wall of the area excavated for the manhole in which the sewer line terminates (Figure 4). The larger feature (Feature 1) was observable in profile at about 50 cm below the surface as an approximately 10 cm thick lens of charcoal stained soil about 90 cm in length east/west. The lens contained several fragments of burned sandstone. A few pieces of sandstone occurred outside of but in the general vicinity of the lens. The feature occurs near the base of the upper soil zone consisting of a medium brown fine sandy loam. Immediately below




Figure 3. Anvil stone recovered during trench monitor.



Figure 4. Features uncovered in north end of trench at 34WG220. Feature 1 in trench wall below scale, Feature 2 in trench floor left of trowel (indicating north).



Figure 5. Controlled machine stripping.

the feature is light-brown silty loam which occurs upon a reddish yellow sandy clay. Seven pieces of fire cracked sandstone were collected during initial examination and cleaning of the feature's profile

A second feature (Feature 2) was identified on a ledge of the north trench wall immediately south of and below the feature described above. This feature was observed in plan view at about 90 cm below the surface. In relation to the east/west profile of the feature previously described, it occurs about mid way along it's length, in an area 10-20 cm to the south and about 30 cm below the base of Feature 1. The feature is distinguishable as an oval area of brown sandy loam extending into reddish yellow sandy clay. The feature is oval in outline and about 25 cm x 17 cm. An *in situ* chert cobble of Boone chert (Keokuk variety), from which two flakes have been removed, is positioned on it's edge within and against the west edge of the feature (Figure 4). In addition, 3 cobbles of Boone chert (Keokuk variety), 1 of which is broken and the other 2 unmodified were recovered while cleaning off loose dirt just above the feature.

Controlled Machine Stripping A monitored controlled stripping of the area within the right-of-way east of the trench was conducted on January 19, 1997 (Figure 5). Three strips approximately 50 x 2 m in size were scraped in a roughly north south direction. Stripping was accomplished by a road grader in approximately 15 cm increments down to the subsoil. No features were encountered in the roughly 300 square meters exposed by the stripping. One flake was recovered from the western most strip and one flake, two pieces of decorated whiteware ceramics and a green glassware were recovered from the eastern most strip.

Excavation of Features A 1 x 2 m test unit (Test Unit A) was opened to uncover and investigate the features. The unit was excavated in three levels. A level string line was established east to west on the north wall of Test Unit A to serve as a vertical control datum (Figure 6). All levels evidenced a high degree of rodent disturbance. Level 1 was excavated to 40 cm below the datum (BLD) and with the exception of one small flake of thermally altered Boone chert recovered from a rodent burrow at the base of the unit, only historic/modern artifacts were recovered from this level (Table 1). These items include fragments of clear glass (n=7), brown glass (n=1) and light green (n=2) glass jar and bottle fragments, 7 fragments of window pane glass one of which has raised decorations, a light bulb fragment, a marble, 3 round wire nails, a wire fragment, 3 fragments of whiteware, 7 pieces of coal and 8 unidentifiable burned/slag items. Other items recovered include unmodified sandstone (n=12) and gravel (n=7).

Level 2 was excavated from 40 cm to 53 cm BLD ending when the top of the feature was encountered. Artifacts recovered from this level include 3 clear glass bottle/jar fragments, 1 fragment of window glass, 5 round wire nails, a wire fragment and 4 unidentified burned/slag items. In addition unmodified gravel (n=3), sandstone (n=3) and black walnut shell were recovered. The walnut shell was recovered from a rodent burrow, is unburned and appears to be very modern.

Level 3 was excavated from 53 cm to 80 cm BLD and included all of Feature 1. Although the feature was relatively intact, the area surrounding the feature had been heavily disturbed by rodent activity (Figure 7). The disturbance was active and it was necessary




Figure 6. Feature 1 profile in north trench wall in relation to Test Unit A and vertical control datum (level string line from northwest corner of unit).



Figure 7. Feature 1 as uncovered at 53 cm BLD, note rodent disturbance.

to clean dirt mounded on and around the feature every morning prior to excavation and at times during excavation. Likely as a result of such disturbance, one clear glass bottle/jar fragment, a wire fragment, 2 pieces of slag and 3 pieces of asphalt shingle were recovered from this level. However, this level also produced the greatest number of prehistoric artifacts. These items are described below in the discussion of Feature 1.

V. Results of the Investigation

Feature 1 The feature was revealed to be a circular stone hearth/oven about 1 m in circumference east/west and about 70 cm north/south. A small portion of the feature in the latter direction was effected by trench excavation. The hearth was constructed of tabular sandstone placed within a shallow basin the base of which is about 77 cm BLD. With the exception of an area encompassing the approximate center of the hearth, tabular pieces of sandstone were present throughout the feature becoming less numerous towards the base. Some stones have been removed from the center of the feature and apparently piled on the west edge of the feature. Although some stones were encountered in the central area, the soil is mottled containing less charcoal than the surrounding fill. The apparent removal of stones and the mottled soil/hearth fill in this area may be an indication the feature served as an oven with the center stones removed as a result of activity related to cooking. Among the stones throughout most of the feature is a dark soil containing a dense concentration of charcoal. Larger pieces of charcoal derived from small logs were encountered toward the base of the feature. Samples of the dark stained soil comprising the hearth fill were collected for flotation analysis in hopes of recovering charred plant

remains.

Hearthstone A total of 312 pieces of sandstone weighing approximately 35.43 kg were recovered from Feature 1. The stones are mostly tabular and were likely collected from local sandstone exposures, several of which occur along nearby Coweta Creek. All stones over 10 cm in length were selected for thickness measurements. A total of 65 stones were measured and range from 8.6 to .6 cm in thickness with a mean of 2.4 cm and Standard Deviation of 1.31. These numbers indicate a fair consistency in thickness. This consistency may be due several factors including natural size of stone at local outcrops, selection of stones of consistent size for specific purpose or fracturing along structural planes within the stone as a result of exposure to heat.

Artifacts A total of four prehistoric artifacts were recovered during excavation of Feature 1. A flake of Ogallala quartzite was recovered from the hearth fill and two flakes and an edge of a thick biface, all of which are of Boone chert (Reed Springs variety) were recovered in areas adjacent to the hearth. Although the artifacts were recovered at the same level as (Level 3) and adjacent to Feature 1, the area around the feature has been heavily disturbed by rodent activity and their direct association is questionable.

Floral and Flotation Analysis Among the larger pieces of charcoal recovered from the feature, elm (*Ulmus sp*), maple (*Acer sp*) and oak (*Quercus sp*) were identified. A total of 8 two liter samples of fill from Feature 1 were subjected to flotation one of which was selected for analysis by a full sort. The samples were weighed and both light and heavy fractions were processed through nested

geological screens of 2 mm, 1 mm .5 mm and .25mm. All material from each screen of the sample selected for a full sort was examined under a binocular microscope at 10-25x magnification. Selected portions of the remaining samples were scanned under magnification. Each sample contained a large amount of charcoal fragments and contamination by volume of each sample is less than 25% most of which are rootlets. No charred seeds, bone or artifacts were observed in the fully sorted or scanned samples.

Radiocarbon Date A large amount of charcoal was recovered from the hearth fill including several large pieces. A 9.5 gm charcoal sample derived from a small log of maple from an undisturbed portion of Feature 1 was submitted for radiometric dating. The resulting date, adjusted according to measured C13/C12 ratios is 630 +/- 60 radiocarbon years BP (Beta-102887). Calibrated dates of AD 1310, 1353, 1385 with a two sigma range of AD 1280-1427 were calculated (Stuiver and Pearson 1993).

Feature 2 Excavation of Feature 2 revealed very little additional information beyond that derived from it's initial discovery (Figure 8). The base of the feature was encountered about 5 cm below the level (117 cm BLD) at which it was identified. Since little of the feature remained, it is difficult to interpret. However, two possibilities are plausible. One is the feature is the base of a post mold with the *in situ* chert cobble and the others recovered while cleaning the area above the feature serving to secure the post in the hole. Although it is doubtful that a historic/modern fence post would extend to 117 cm (46 inches) in depth, the possibility does exist. However, the fact that the *in situ* chert cobble exhibits two flake scars typical of prehistoric

technology lends doubt to this interpretation and suggests a prehistoric origin for the post mold. Another possibility is that the feature is a cache pit containing the chert cobbles. Since stream transport of the cobbles upstream from outcrops 35 km east of the site is not possible, the cobbles could only be transported to the site by people. Thus storage of these items on site for use as a ready source of tool stone by the sites' occupants is plausible. One problem with this interpretation is that although the one cobble recovered from the feature has been flaked, the remaining ones are unmodified and all are of low quality chert poorly suited for production of stone tools. However, it is possible the material was intended for heat treatment to enhance it's knappability. The recovery of a small flake derived from thermally altered Boone chert in Level 1 of Test Unit A attests to the occurrence of heat-treated material on the site.

V. Interpretations and Conclusions

Lacking the recovery of diagnostic prehistoric artifacts in association with the features, the radiometric date obtained from Feature 1 provides the only means of temporal assessment. The sample was derived from a single piece of charcoal and due to very little disturbance of the feature itself, the possibility of contamination is minimal. Therefore, the calibrated date of around AD 1350 derived from the sample is not unreasonable. However, the artifacts in possession of the landowner are more typical of earlier occupations, possibly Late Archaic or Woodland. Many of the artifacts reportedly were found west of the area investigated. Thus there is the likelihood of more than one occupation on the site. The lack of artifacts recovered during the investigation suggests that the features are




Figure 8. Feature 2 with *in situ* chert cobble, note flake scars on top edge. Trowel points north.

not the result of an intensive occupation within the area examined. In addition, Feature 1 does not evidence repeated use and is more likely to be the result a single use event. Such a function would be more expected to be associated with a temporary camp. Although the feature appears to have served in some way as a cooking feature, the lack of charred seeds or nut hull in the flotation samples suggests the feature was not used in processing such items. Based on the minimal amount of data recovered by this investigation the most feasible interpretation is that the hearth/oven feature is the result of activity

associated with a temporary camp occupied around AD 1350. Wallis(1984:94,106) suggests a similar pattern of occupation for all components at 34WG108 in the nearby Kadashan Bottom. Although the lithic assemblage recovered from 34WG220 is small, all but one of the items are Boone chert, the closest sources of which occur about 35 km east of the site on the western edge of the Ozark Plateau. If the items are associated with the use of the hearth/oven feature, this would suggest the site inhabitants responsible for Feature 1 arrived on the site from areas to the east. However, due to the high degree of soil disturbance revealed by the excavations,

their association with the feature is questionable.

The floral analysis provides limited but useful information. The identification of elm, oak and maple among the charcoal recovered from the hearth indicates a diverse forest very similar to that which exists today was present along the Arkansas River valley in this area around AD 1350. The presence of diverse forest along this portion of the Arkansas River provides evidence that the local environment may have been little affected by drier conditions thought to have predominated in the region during this time (Hall 1988:208-209).

The majority of historic/modern items recovered including clear glass bottle/jar fragments, round wire nails, light bulb fragment and glass marble appear to be relatively late in age and likely relate to ongoing occupations on the site over the last 40 years. The coal and few ceramic fragments recovered may derive from these or slightly earlier occupations. However, none bear distinctive makers marks or manufacturing techniques allowing more definitive date ranges.

VI. Recommendations

The investigations of 34WG220 consisted of the examination of approximately 75 m of a 1.8 m deep backhoe trench, 300 square m of controlled machine stripping and the excavation of a 1 x 2 m test unit. Although a well preserved prehistoric hearth/oven feature and the base of a possible post mold or cache pit was uncovered, no other evidence of features was observed. In addition, only 12 prehistoric artifacts, none of which are diagnostic were recovered

during the entire investigation. Evidence observed during the excavation of Test Unit A also revealed the site area to be heavily disturbed by rodent and historic/modern activity. Based on the sparse cultural materials, amount of disturbance and the presence of only two features, it appears that the area of 34WG220 investigated does not contain the content or context of archaeological materials which would render the site eligible for inclusion in the NRHP.

VII. References Cited

- Bell, R. E.
1984 Arkansas Valley Caddoan: The Harlan Phase. In *Prehistory of Oklahoma*, edited by R. E. Bell, pp 221-240.
- Brown, J. A.
1984 Arkansas Valley Caddoan: The Spiro Phase. In *Prehistory of Oklahoma*, edited by R. E. Bell, pp 241-263.
- Blair, F. W. and T. H. Hubbell
1938 The Biotic Districts of Oklahoma. *The American Midland Naturalist* 20:425-454.
- Foreman, C. T.
1948 Report of the Reverend R. M. Loughridge to the Board of Foreign Missions Regarding the Creek Mission. *The Chronicles of Oklahoma* 26(3):278-285.
- Foreman, G.
1934 *The Five Civilized Tribes*. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman.
- Hall, S. A.
1988 Environment and Archaeology of the Central Osage Plains. *Plains Anthropologist* 33(120):203-218.

Marcher, M. V.

1969 Reconnaissance of the Water Resources of the Fort Smith Quadrangle East Central Oklahoma. *Hydrologic Atlas 1*. Oklahoma Geological Survey.

McClung, T. L.

1980 A preliminary Report: Archaeological Investigations at the W. W. Works Site, 34WG117, Northeast Oklahoma. *Oklahoma Anthropological Society Newsletter* 28(1):2-6.

Neal, L.

1994 The Brandon Site, 34TU82, Tulsa County, Oklahoma. *Bulletin of the Oklahoma Anthropological Society* 40:209-249.

Odell, G. H.

1989 *Final Report on Archaeological Excavations Conducted Between May and July, 1988, at the Lasley Vore Site (34TU65), Jenks Oklahoma*. Report prepared for Kimberly-Clark Corporation, the Oklahoma State Archaeologist and the Oklahoma State Historical Society.

Odell, G., P. Arias, D. Hagen and D. Gaston
1988 *An Archaeological Survey of Western Wagoner County*. Department of Anthropology, University of Tulsa, Contributions in Archaeology No. 16.

Odell, G., and Frieda Vereecken-Odell

1989 First Impressions and Ultimate Reality: Excavation of the Day Site in Wagoner County, Oklahoma. *Bulletin of the Oklahoma Anthropological Society* 38:19-48.

Polone, D. J.

1976 *Soil Survey of Wagoner County*. Soil Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture.

Stuiver, M. and G. W. Pearson

1993 *Radiocarbon* 35:1-23.

Wallis, C. S. Jr

1984 Reanalysis and Report on Findings of Work Conducted at 34WG108, Kadashan Bottom Watershed, Southwestern Wagoner County. Oklahoma Conservation Commission, *Archaeological Research Report* No. 12.

Wyckoff D. G.

1995 A Summary of the Calf Creek Horizon in Oklahoma. *Bulletin of the Oklahoma Anthropological Society* 42:179-210.

1989 Accelerator Dates and Chronology at the Packard Site, Oklahoma. *Current Research in the Pleistocene* 6:24-26.

1985 The Packard Complex: Early Archaic, Pre Dalton Occupations on the Prairie-Woodlands Border. *Southeastern Archaeology* 4:1-26.

Wyckoff, D. G., P. Robison and T. P Barr

1963 The Archaeological Resources of the Markham Ferry Reservoir Area Mayes County, Oklahoma. *Oklahoma River Basin Survey Project General Survey Report* No. 1. University of Oklahoma Research Institute, Norman Oklahoma.

Yates, B. C. and M. E. Brown

1989 Appendix I Faunal Analysis. In *Final Report on Archaeological Excavations Conducted Between May and July, 1988, at the Lasley Vore Site (34TU65), Jenks Oklahoma*, by George Odell. Report prepared for Kimberly-Clark Corporation, the Oklahoma State Archaeologist and the Oklahoma State Historical Society.

Table 1. Artifacts recovered during investigations at 34WG220.

Artifacts	Monitor		Test unit A			Feature 2		Total
	Trench	Blading	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3 (Feat. 1)	Cleaning	Excavation	
Historic								
Glass jar/bottle fragments			10	3	1			14
Window glass fragments			7	1				8
Light bulb fragment			1					1
Ceramic fragments		3	3					6
Nails			3	5				8
Wire			1	1	1			3
Marble			1					1
Coal	1		7					8
Unidentified burned/slag items			8	4	2			14
Prehistoric								
Flakes	2	2	1		3			8
Biface fragment					1			1
Hammerstone fragment	1							1
Anvil stone	1							1
Chert Cobbles unmodified	2					3		5
modified							1	1
Total	7	5	42	14	8	3	1	80

